

ALGEBRAIC NESTED BETHE ANSATZ FOR THE ELLIPTIC RUIJSENAARS MODEL

E. BILLEY

D-MATH, ETH-Zentrum, 8092 Zürich, Switzerland
billey@math.ethz.ch

ABSTRACT. The eigenvalues of the elliptic N -body Ruijsenaars operator are obtained by a dynamical version of the algebraic nested Bethe ansatz method. The result is derived by using the construction given in [1], where the Ruijsenaars operator was obtained as the transfer matrix associated to the symmetric power of the vector representation of the elliptic quantum group $E_{\tau,\gamma}(\mathfrak{gl}_N)$.

1. INTRODUCTION

The goal of this paper is to solve the elliptic N -body Ruijsenaars model by the Bethe ansatz method. It was done in [2] for $N = 2$, as a special case of the diagonalization of the transfer matrix of particular highest weight modules associated to the elliptic quantum group $E_{\tau,\gamma}(\mathfrak{gl}_2)$. The result was achieved by a dynamical generalization of the algebraic Bethe ansatz (see [3, 4] for the description of the algebraic formulation of the Bethe ansatz). For general N , the Ruijsenaars operator can be constructed as the transfer matrix $\mathcal{T}(z)$ associated to the symmetric power of the vector representation of $E_{\tau,\gamma}(\mathfrak{gl}_N)$ [1]. Since $\mathcal{T}(z)$ is a trace over an N -dimensional space, we diagonalize it by the nested version of the Bethe ansatz [5].

The Ruijsenaars operator is a difference operator which is a q -deformation of the Calogero differential operator. In [6] the solution of the elliptic N -body Calogero model (in the Bethe ansatz form) was obtained from the integral representation of solutions of the elliptic Knizhnik–Zamolodchikov–Bernard equations by applying the stationary phase method [7, 8, 9]. Similarly, there is a link between the Ruijsenaars model and the qKZB difference equations. The eigenfunctions of the trigonometric Ruijsenaars operator are given in [10] in the form of Etingof–Kirillov traces of intertwining operators [11]. As for the integral representation of solutions of the qKZB equations, it is known for $\mathfrak{sl}_2$ only [12].

The structure of the paper is as follows. In section 2, we review the construction of commuting transfer matrices associated to the representations of the elliptic quantum group $E_{\tau,\gamma}(\mathfrak{gl}_N)$. In section 3, we recall how the Ruijsenaars operator is related to the transfer matrix $\mathcal{T}(z)$ associated to the symmetric power of the vector representation of $E_{\tau,\gamma}(\mathfrak{gl}_N)$. In section 4, we explain the idea of the dynamical version of the algebraic nested Bethe ansatz. Finally in sections 5 and 6, we apply explicitly the Bethe ansatz method; we write down the Bethe equations and the eigenvalues of the transfer matrix $\mathcal{T}(z)$.

2. THE ELLIPTIC QUANTUM GROUP ASSOCIATED TO $\mathfrak{gl}_N$

We recall the definition of the elliptic quantum group $E_{\tau,\gamma}(\mathfrak{gl}_N)$, following [1]. Let $\mathfrak{g}$ be a Lie algebra, $\mathfrak{h}$ a Cartan subalgebra of $\mathfrak{g}$ and $\mathfrak{h}^*$ its dual space. Let W be a finite dimensional diagonalizable $\mathfrak{h}$ -module, *i.e.* a complex finite dimensional vector space with a weight decomposition $W = \bigoplus_{\mu \in \mathfrak{h}^*} W[\mu]$ such that $\mathfrak{h}$ acts on $W[\mu]$ by $xw = \mu(x)w$ for $x \in \mathfrak{h}, w \in W[\mu]$.

The starting point to define representations of elliptic quantum groups is an R -matrix $R(z, \lambda) \in \text{End}(W \otimes W)$ depending on two parameters $z \in \mathbb{C}, \lambda \in \mathfrak{h}^*$ and solution of the dynamical Yang–Baxter equation

$$(1) \quad \begin{aligned} & R^{(12)}(z_1 - z_2, \lambda - \gamma h^{(3)}) R^{(13)}(z_1 - z_3, \lambda) R^{(23)}(z_2 - z_3, \lambda - \gamma h^{(1)}) \\ &= R^{(23)}(z_2 - z_3, \lambda) R^{(13)}(z_1 - z_3, \lambda - \gamma h^{(2)}) R^{(12)}(z_1 - z_2, \lambda). \end{aligned}$$

In this equation γ is a generic complex parameter, and we use the standard notation

$$(2) \quad f(\lambda - \gamma h) w = f(\lambda - \gamma \mu) w \quad \text{if } w \in W[\mu]$$

for any complex-valued function f of λ .

In what follows we are interested in the case $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{gl}_N$, and $\mathfrak{h}$ the algebra of diagonal complex $N \times N$ matrix, acting on the vector representation $V = \mathbb{C}^N$ of $\mathfrak{gl}_N$ with standard basis $(e_j)_{j=1, \dots, N}$. We identify $\mathfrak{h}$ and $\mathfrak{h}^*$ via the trace, and with $\mathbb{C}^N$ via the orthonormal basis $(\omega_j = e_{jj})_{j=1, \dots, N}$, denoting e_{jk} the $N \times N$ matrix acting on the standard basis by $e_{jk} e_l = \delta_{kl} e_j$. The weight spaces of the $\mathfrak{h}$ -module V are $V[\omega_j] = \mathbb{C} e_j$. In this case the notation (2) reads explicitly

$$f(\lambda - \gamma h) e_k = \Gamma_k f(\lambda) e_k$$

where Γ_k is the shift operator by $-\gamma \omega_k$: if f is a complex-valued function of λ ,

$$\Gamma_k f(\lambda) = f(\lambda - \gamma \omega_k) = f(\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_{k-1}, \lambda_k - \gamma, \lambda_{k+1}, \dots, \lambda_N).$$

Let $R(z, \lambda) \in \text{End}(\mathbb{C}^N \otimes \mathbb{C}^N)$ be the elliptic solution of the dynamical Yang–Baxter equation (1) given by the formula

$$(3) \quad R(z, \lambda) = \sum_{i=1}^N e_{ii} \otimes e_{ii} + \sum_{\substack{i,j=1 \\ i \neq j}}^N \alpha(z, \lambda_i - \lambda_j) e_{ii} \otimes e_{jj} + \sum_{\substack{i,j=1 \\ i \neq j}}^N \beta(z, \lambda_i - \lambda_j) e_{ij} \otimes e_{ji},$$

where

$$\alpha(z, \lambda) = \frac{\theta(z)\theta(\lambda + \gamma)}{\theta(z - \gamma)\theta(\lambda)}, \quad \beta(z, \lambda) = -\frac{\theta(z + \lambda)\theta(\gamma)}{\theta(z - \gamma)\theta(\lambda)}.$$

θ is the Jacobi's first theta function:

$$\theta(z) = - \sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} \exp \left[\pi i \left(j + \frac{1}{2} \right)^2 \tau + 2\pi i \left(j + \frac{1}{2} \right) \left(z + \frac{1}{2} \right) \right],$$

where τ is a complex parameter with $\text{Im}(\tau) > 0$. θ is analytic with zeroes $(n + \tau m), n, m \in \mathbb{Z}$. It satisfies

$$\theta(-z) = -\theta(z) = \theta(z + 1) \quad \text{and} \quad \theta(z + \tau) = -\theta(z) e^{-i\pi(2z + \tau)}.$$

The R -matrix (3) has the following properties:

$$(4) \quad R^{(12)}(z, \lambda) R^{(21)}(-z, \lambda) = \text{Id},$$

$$(5) \quad R^{(12)}(0, \lambda) = P^{(12)} = \sum_{i,j=1}^N e_{ij} \otimes e_{ji},$$

$$(6) \quad [R^{(12)}(z, \lambda), x \otimes \text{Id} + \text{Id} \otimes x] = 0, \quad \forall x \in \mathfrak{h},$$

$$(7) \quad [\Gamma^{(1)} \Gamma^{(2)}, R^{(12)}(z, \lambda)] = 0,$$

where Γ is the diagonal $N \times N$ matrix $\text{diag}(\Gamma_i)_{i=1, \dots, N}$.

A representation of the elliptic quantum group $E = E_{\tau, \gamma}(\mathfrak{gl}_N)$ is by definition a pair (W, L) where W is a finite-dimensional diagonalizable $\mathfrak{h}$ -module and $L(z, \lambda)$ is a meromorphic function with values in $\text{End}_{\mathfrak{h}}(\mathbb{C}^N \otimes W)$, obeying the relation

$$\begin{aligned} R^{(12)}(z_1 - z_2, \lambda - \gamma h^{(3)}) L^{(13)}(z_1, \lambda) L^{(23)}(z_2, \lambda - \gamma h^{(1)}) \\ = L^{(23)}(z_2, \lambda) L^{(13)}(z_1, \lambda - \gamma h^{(2)}) R^{(12)}(z_1 - z_2, \lambda) \end{aligned}$$

and commuting with the action of $\mathfrak{h}$:

$$[L(z, \lambda), x \otimes \text{Id} + \text{Id} \otimes x] = 0, \quad \forall x \in \mathfrak{h}.$$

An E -submodule of an E -module (W, L) is a pair (W', L') where W' is an $\mathfrak{h}$ -submodule of W such that $\mathbb{C}^N \otimes W'$ is invariant under the action of $L(z, \lambda)$, and L' is the restriction of L to this invariant subspace. E -submodules are E -modules.

The basic example of an E -module is $(\mathbb{C}^N, L)$ with $L(z, \lambda) = R(z - w, \lambda)$. It is called the vector representation with evaluation point w and is denoted by $V(w)$.

Other modules can be obtained by taking tensor products: if (W_1, L_1) and (W_2, L_2) are E -modules, then also $(W_1 \otimes W_2, L)$, with an $\mathfrak{h}$ -module structure $x(w_1 \otimes w_2) = xw_1 \otimes w_2 + w_1 \otimes xw_2$ and a L -operator $L(z, \lambda) = L_1^{(12)}(z, \lambda - \gamma h^{(3)}) L_2^{(13)}(z, \lambda)$.

It is useful for what follows to introduce what we call the Lax operator associated to the E -module (W, L) . It is an $N \times N$ matrix, with elements which are operators acting on the space of meromorphic functions of $\lambda \in \mathfrak{h}^*$ with values in W . It is defined by the formula

$$(8) \quad \mathcal{L}(z) w(\lambda) = L^{(12)}(z, \lambda) \Gamma^{(1)} w^{(2)}(\lambda).$$

More explicitly, let us introduce matrix elements by $L(z, \lambda) e_j \otimes w = \sum_i e_i \otimes L_{ij}(z, \lambda) w$ (and the same for $\mathcal{L}$). The elements $\mathcal{L}_{ij}(z)$ of $\mathcal{L}(z)$ act the following way:

$$\mathcal{L}_{ij}(z) w(\lambda) = L_{ij}(z, \lambda) w(\lambda - \gamma \omega_j).$$

Using the property (7) of the R -matrix, we see easily that $\mathcal{L}$ satisfies the commutation relation

$$(9) \quad R^{(12)}(z_1 - z_2, \lambda - \gamma h^{(3)}) \mathcal{L}^{(13)}(z_1) \mathcal{L}^{(23)}(z_2) = \mathcal{L}^{(23)}(z_2) \mathcal{L}^{(13)}(z_1) R^{(12)}(z_1 - z_2, \lambda).$$

The trace of the Lax operator $\text{tr}^{(1)} \mathcal{L}^{(13)}(z) = \sum_i \mathcal{L}_{ii}(z)$ leaves the zero weight subspace $W[0]$ of W invariant. The transfer matrix associated to the E -module (W, L) is, by definition,

$$\mathcal{T}(z) = \left[\text{tr}^{(1)} \mathcal{L}^{(13)}(z) \right]_{W[0]}.$$

As a consequence of relation (9), the transfer matrices commute for different values of the spectral parameters.

3. THE RUIJSENAARS OPERATOR

The elliptic Ruijsenaars operator is (up to a conjugation by a function) a difference operator acting on functions of $\lambda \in \mathfrak{h}^*$:

$$M = \sum_{i=1}^N \prod_{j: j \neq i} \frac{\theta(\lambda_i - \lambda_j + \ell \gamma)}{\theta(\lambda_i - \lambda_j)} \Gamma_i, \quad \text{with a coupling constant } \ell \in \mathbb{N}.$$

It can be obtained as the transfer matrix associated to a particular E -module that we now introduce.

Let $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Let $V^{\otimes n}(0)$ denote the E -module $V(0) \otimes V(\gamma) \otimes \cdots \otimes V(\gamma(n-1))$. $V^{\otimes n}(0)$ is the pair (W, L) with $W = (\mathbb{C}^N)^{\otimes n} = \mathbb{C}^N \otimes \cdots \otimes \mathbb{C}^N$, and L is the operator on $\mathbb{C}^N \otimes (\mathbb{C}^N)^{\otimes n}$ given by the formula

$$L(z, \lambda) = R^{(01)}\left(z, \lambda - \gamma \sum_{j=2}^n h^{(j)}\right) R^{(02)}\left(z - \gamma, \lambda - \gamma \sum_{j=3}^n h^{(j)}\right) \cdots R^{(0n)}\left(z - \gamma(n-1), \lambda\right).$$

We denote by $S^n(\mathbb{C}^N)$ the space of symmetric tensors of $(\mathbb{C}^N)^{\otimes n}$, *i.e.* the subspace of $(\mathbb{C}^N)^{\otimes n}$ invariant under the action of the symmetric group S_n . It is proved in [1] that $S^n(\mathbb{C}^N)$ is an E -submodule of $V^{\otimes n}(0)$. It is called the n th symmetric power of the vector representation (with evaluation point 0) and is denoted by $S^n V(0)$. The zero weight subspace of this module is trivial unless n is a multiple of N ; if we take $n = N\ell$, the zero weight subspace is one dimensional and is spanned by the sum of the tensors $e_{i_1} \otimes \cdots \otimes e_{i_{N\ell}}$ over all sequences (i_j) such that each integer between 1 and N occurs precisely ℓ times¹. Let $\mathcal{T}(z)$ be the transfer matrix associated to $S^{N\ell} V(0)$. If we identify the zero weight subspace of $S^{N\ell} V(0)$ with $\mathbb{C}$, we have

$$(10) \quad \mathcal{T}(z) = \frac{\theta(z - \gamma\ell)}{\theta(z - \gamma N\ell)} M.$$

Thanks to this result, we can solve the Ruijsenaars model by applying the Bethe ansatz method to the transfer matrix $\mathcal{T}(z)$.

Let us remark (although we do not use this result in what follows) that M belongs to a family of N commuting difference operators

$$M_n = \sum_{I; |I|=n} \prod_{\substack{i \in I \\ j \notin I}} \frac{\theta(\lambda_i - \lambda_j + \ell\gamma)}{\theta(\lambda_i - \lambda_j)} \prod_{i \in I} \Gamma_i, \quad n = 1, \dots, N$$

(where $|I|$ denotes the cardinality of a subset I of $\{1, \dots, N\}$). Each operator M_n can be constructed by considering transfer matrices associated to E -modules obtained as symmetric and exterior powers of the vector representation of $E_{\tau, \gamma}(\mathfrak{gl}_N)$.

4. SETTING UP OF THE BETHE ANSATZ

Let us recall that the algebraic Bethe ansatz is a method for diagonalizing transfer matrices obtained as a trace of a 2×2 Lax matrix with a spectral parameter. The nested Bethe ansatz is a generalization of the Bethe ansatz to the case of Lax matrices with an N -dimensional auxiliary space, for $N > 2$; it is achieved in $(N-1)$ steps, each step resulting in reducing by 1 the dimension of the auxiliary space.

Here we apply a dynamical version of the nested Bethe ansatz: the Lax operator is a matrix on an auxiliary N dimensional space which elements are difference operators acting on functions of N parameters $(\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_N)$ with values in some vector space.

The idea consists in looking for eigenstates ψ obtained by applying “creation operators” $B(t_k)$ to a reference state v : $\psi(t_1, \dots, t_m) = B(t_1) \cdots B(t_m)v$. The $B(t_k)$ ’s are particular elements of the Lax matrix $\mathcal{L}$, and the reference state v is chosen to be an obvious eigenstate of the elements of the Lax matrix. The problem amounts to finding the conditions on the parameters t_k . More precisely, in the case $N = 2$, $B(t)$ is the operator $\mathcal{L}_{12}(t)$. In the case $N > 2$, it is a little more complicated: the operators $B(t)$ belong to the set $(B_j(t))_{j=2, \dots, N}$, so that ψ is roughly of the form $B_{j_1}(t_1) \cdots B_{j_m}(t_m)v$ and we have to choose not only the right parameters t_k , but also the indices j_k .

¹By extension we call zero weight a weight which is a multiple of $\omega = \sum_{i=1}^N \omega_i$. Since the R -matrix and the Lax operator depend only on differences $(\lambda_i - \lambda_j)$, adding the same constant to each λ_i does not change our results.

Let us now go into details. We want to diagonalize the transfer matrix

$$(11) \quad \mathcal{T}(z) = \text{tr}^{(0)} \mathcal{L}(z)$$

where

$$(12) \quad \mathcal{L}(z) = R^{(01)} \left(z, \lambda - \gamma \sum_{j=2}^{N\ell} h^{(j)} \right) \cdots R^{(0, N\ell)} \left(z - \gamma(N\ell - 1), \lambda \right) \Gamma^{(0)}.$$

This Lax operator is a matrix on an auxiliary N dimensional space (denoted by the index (0)) with elements which are difference operators acting on functions of $\lambda = (\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_N)$ with values in $W = (\mathbb{C}^N)^{\otimes N\ell}$. We denote these elements by:

$$\mathcal{L}(z) = \begin{pmatrix} A(z) & B_j(z) \\ C_i(z) & D_{ij}(z) \end{pmatrix}_{i,j=2,\dots,N}.$$

We denote by B the ligh vector $(B_2, \dots, B_N)$ and by D the matrix $(D_{ij})_{i,j=2,\dots,N}$.

4.1. The form of the Bethe ansatz. Now let us explain more precisely in which form we are looking for the eigenstates of $\mathcal{T}(z)$.

First we choose a reference state v . We take a joint eigenstate of the operators A and D_{ii} which is furthermore annihilated by all the D_{ij} for $i \neq j$:

$$v = \underbrace{e_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes e_1}_{N\ell \text{ factors}} \in W.$$

Proposition. *The action of the operators A and D_{ij} on the reference state is given by the following formulae:*

$$(13) \quad A(z) [g(\lambda) v] = g(\lambda - \gamma\omega_1) v,$$

$$(14) \quad D(z) [g(\lambda) v] = \sum_{i,j=2}^N e_{ij} D_{ij}(z) [g(\lambda) v] = \sum_{i=2}^N e_{ii} \Phi_i(z, \lambda) g(\lambda - \gamma\omega_i) v,$$

$$\text{where } \Phi_i(z, \lambda) = \frac{\theta(z)}{\theta(z - N\ell\gamma)} \frac{\theta(\lambda_i - \lambda_1 + N\ell\gamma)}{\theta(\lambda_i - \lambda_1)}.$$

Proof. We can write $R(z, \lambda) = \sum_{i,j=1}^N e_{ij} \otimes R_{ij}(z, \lambda)$ with

$$R_{ii}(z, \lambda) = e_{ii} + \sum_{j:j \neq i} \alpha(z, \lambda_i - \lambda_j) e_{jj} \quad \text{for } i = 1, \dots, N,$$

$$R_{ij}(z, \lambda) = \beta(z, \lambda_i - \lambda_j) e_{ji} \quad \text{for } i, j = 1, \dots, N, \ i \neq j.$$

Then we have

$$\begin{aligned} L_{ij}(z, \lambda) &= \sum_{j_1, \dots, j_{N\ell-1}}^N R_{ij_1}^{(1)} \left(z, \lambda - \gamma \sum_{k=2}^{N\ell} h^{(k)} \right) R_{j_1 j_2}^{(2)} \left(z - \gamma, \lambda - \gamma \sum_{k=3}^{N\ell} h^{(k)} \right) \cdots \\ &\quad \cdots R_{j_{N\ell-1} j}^{(N\ell)} \left(z - \gamma(N\ell - 1), \lambda \right). \end{aligned}$$

Let us consider L_{ij} , for $i, j \geq 2, i \neq j$. In each term of the sum we have at least one of the factors which is of the form R_{ik} for some $k \neq i$. Since R_{ik} is proportional to e_{ki} , $R_{ik}e_1 = 0$. Thus $D_{ij} v = 0$ for $i, j \geq 2, i \neq j$.

Now if we apply L_{ii} to v , the only term which gives a non zero contribution is the one corresponding to $j_1 = \dots = j_{N\ell-1} = i$. Therefore

$$L_{11}(z, \lambda) v = v \quad \text{and} \quad L_{ii}(z, \lambda) v = \Phi_i(z, \lambda) v \quad \text{if } i \neq 1,$$

$$\text{with } \Phi_i(z, \lambda) = \prod_{k=1}^{N\ell} \alpha(z - \gamma(k-1), \lambda_i - \lambda_1 + \gamma(N\ell - k)). \quad \square$$

Then we look for eigenstates in the form

$$\psi(t_1, \dots, t_m, \lambda) = \sum_{j_1, \dots, j_m=2}^N B_{j_1}(t_1) \cdots B_{j_m}(t_m) v g_{j_1, \dots, j_m}(\lambda),$$

with some coefficients $g_{j_1, \dots, j_m}$ which are functions of λ (and depend implicitly on the parameters t_k). We impose conditions on these $g_{j_1, \dots, j_m}$'s so as to consider only the states ψ of weight zero. v has a weight $[(N-1)\ell, -\ell, \dots, -\ell]$ in the basis ($\omega'_j = e_{jj} - \omega/N$). Since applying the operator B_j to a vector of weight μ gives a vector of weight $\mu - \omega_1 + \omega_j$, we have to apply to v exactly ℓ times each of the B_j in order to get a zero weight vector. This means that we must take a state of the form

$$(15) \quad \psi(t_1, \dots, t_{(N-1)\ell}, \lambda) = B^{(1)}(t_1) \cdots B^{((N-1)\ell)}(t_{(N-1)\ell}) v g^{(1, \dots, (N-1)\ell)}(\lambda)$$

where $g^{(1, \dots, (N-1)\ell)}$ is a function of λ with values in the zero weight subspace of $\check{W} = (\mathbb{C}^{N-1})^{\otimes (N-1)\ell}$.

4.2. The commutation relations. To evaluate the action of the transfer matrix

$$\mathcal{T}(z) = A(z) + \text{tr} D(z)$$

on the vector ψ , we have to push A and D to the right of the B operators, and we need to write the commutation relations (9) more explicitly.

Proposition. *The commutation relations can be written in the form*

$$(16) \quad \begin{aligned} B^{(1)}(z_1) B^{(2)}(z_2) &= B^{(2)}(z_2) B^{(1)}(z_1) \check{R}^{(12)}(z_1 - z_2, \check{\lambda}), \\ A(z_1) B(z_2) &= B(z_2) A(z_1) a(z_2 - z_1, \lambda) + B(z_1) A(z_2) b(z_2 - z_1, \lambda), \\ D^{(1)}(z_1) B^{(2)}(z_2) &= a^{(1)}(z_1 - z_2, \lambda - \gamma h) B^{(2)}(z_2) D^{(1)}(z_1) \check{R}^{(12)}(z_1 - z_2, \check{\lambda}) \\ &\quad + c^{(1)}(z_1 - z_2, \lambda - \gamma h) B^{(2)}(z_1) D^{(1)}(z_2) \check{P}^{(12)}, \end{aligned}$$

where $\check{\lambda} = (\lambda_2, \dots, \lambda_N)$, $\check{R}$ is the R -matrix associated to $\mathfrak{gl}_{N-1}$

$$\check{R}(z, \check{\lambda}) = \sum_{i=2}^N e_{ii} \otimes e_{ii} + \sum_{\substack{i,j=2 \\ i \neq j}}^N \alpha(z, \lambda_i - \lambda_j) e_{ii} \otimes e_{jj} + \sum_{\substack{i,j=2 \\ i \neq j}}^N \beta(z, \lambda_i - \lambda_j) e_{ij} \otimes e_{ji},$$

$\check{P}^{(12)} = \sum_{i,j=2}^N e_{ij} \otimes e_{ji}$ is the permutation operator, and $a(z, \lambda)$, $b(z, \lambda)$, $c(z, \lambda)$ are diagonal $(N-1) \times (N-1)$ matrices with elements ($j = 2, \dots, N$)

$$[a(z, \lambda)]_{jj} = \frac{1}{\alpha(z, \lambda_j - \lambda_1)}, \quad [b(z, \lambda)]_{jj} = -\frac{\beta(z, \lambda_1 - \lambda_j)}{\alpha(z, \lambda_j - \lambda_1)}, \quad [c(z, \lambda)]_{jj} = -\frac{\beta(z, \lambda_j - \lambda_1)}{\alpha(z, \lambda_j - \lambda_1)}.$$

Proof. If we denote by $R_{ik,jn}$ the elements of the R -matrix:

$$R^{(12)}(z, \lambda) = \sum_{i,j,k,n=1}^N R_{ik,jn}(z, \lambda) e_{ik} \otimes e_{jn},$$

the element (ik, jn) of the matrix relation (9) reads

$$\sum_{r,s=1}^N R_{ir,js}(z-w, \lambda - \gamma h) \mathcal{L}_{rk}(z) \mathcal{L}_{sn}(w) = \sum_{r,s=1}^N \mathcal{L}_{js}(w) \mathcal{L}_{ir}(z) R_{rk,sn}(z-w, \lambda).$$

Taking successively $i = j = 1$ and $k, n \geq 2$; $i = j = n = 1$ and $k \geq 2$; $j = 1$ and $i, k, n \geq 2$, and using the particular form of the R -matrix ($R_{ik,jn} = 0$ unless $(k, n) = (i, j)$), we get

$$\begin{aligned} B_k(z) B_n(w) &= \sum_{r,s=2}^N B_s(w) B_r(z) R_{rk,sn}(z-w, \lambda), \\ B_k(z) A(w) &= B_k(w) A(z) \beta(z-w, \lambda_1 - \lambda_k) + A(w) B_k(z) \alpha(z-w, \lambda_k - \lambda_1), \\ \beta(z-w, (\lambda - \gamma h)_i - (\lambda - \gamma h)_1) B_k(z) D_{in}(w) &+ \alpha(z-w, (\lambda - \gamma h)_i - (\lambda - \gamma h)_1) D_{ik}(z) B_n(w) \\ &= \sum_{r,s=2}^N B_s(w) D_{ir}(z) R_{rk,sn}(z-w, \lambda), \end{aligned}$$

which can be written in the form (16). $\square$

Since the coefficients appearing in the commutation relations (16) depend on λ and h , we also need to know the way the functions $f(\lambda, h)$ go through the different operators.

Proposition. *For any complex-valued function f of λ and h , we have:*

$$\begin{aligned} (17) \quad A(z) f(\lambda, h) &= f(\lambda - \gamma \omega_1, h) A(z), \\ B_i(z) f(\lambda, h) &= f(\lambda - \gamma \omega_i, h + \omega_1 - \omega_i) B_i(z), \quad \text{for } i = 2, \dots, N, \\ D_{ij}(z) f(\lambda, h) &= f(\lambda - \gamma \omega_j, h + \omega_i - \omega_j) D_{ij}(z), \quad \text{for } i, j = 2, \dots, N. \end{aligned}$$

Proof. For the λ dependence it is a straightforward consequence of the definition (8) of the Lax operator. For the h dependence, it is not difficult to see that since L commutes with the action of $\mathfrak{h}$, we also have $[\mathcal{L}^{(12)}(z), f(h^{(1)} + h^{(2)})] = 0$, or equivalently $\mathcal{L}_{ij}(z)f(h + \omega_j) = f(h + \omega_i)\mathcal{L}_{ij}(z)$. $\square$

5. THE FIRST STEP OF THE BETHE ANSATZ

With the help of the commutation relations (16, 17), the action of $\mathcal{T} = A + \text{tr} D$ on the vector ψ given by (15) can be recast in the form

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{T}(z) \psi(t_1, \dots, t_m, \lambda) &= B^{(1)}(t_1) \cdots B^{(m)}(t_m) v g_0^{(1, \dots, m)}(\lambda) \\ &+ \sum_{k=1}^m B^{(k)}(z) B^{(k+1)}(t_{k+1}) \cdots B^{(m)}(t_m) B^{(1)}(t_1) \cdots B^{(k-1)}(t_{k-1}) v g_k^{(1, \dots, m)}(\lambda). \end{aligned}$$

Here and until the end of this section, we write $m \equiv (N-1)\ell$. Admitting that these different terms are linearly independent, we have to impose that $g_k = 0$ for $k = 1, \dots, m$, and that g_0 is proportional to g . The term associated to g_0 is usually called the “wanted term” and the other ones the “unwanted terms”.

If we carried out the commutation procedure, we would get 2^m terms for the A part, and as many for the D part: we see that this is hopeless to apply the process literally for all the terms. Nevertheless the “wanted term” and the first “unwanted term” are easy to obtain.

To get the “wanted term”, we need to keep only the first part of the commutation relations $A(z)B(t) = B(t)A(z)a(t-z) + (\dots)$, $D^{(1)}(z)B^{(2)}(t) = a^{(1)}(z-t)B^{(2)}(t)D^{(1)}(z)\check{R}^{(12)}(z-t) + (\dots)$. Between two

commutations of $A(z)$ with one $B(t)$, we push a factor $a(t-z)$ to the right, and between two commutations of $D(z)$ with one $B(t)$, we push a factor $\check{R}$ to the right, using the relations (17). Once $A(z)$ and $D(z)$ are completely to the right, they act on $v g(\lambda)$ according to (13, 14). We get eventually

$$\begin{aligned}
g_0^{(1,\dots,m)}(\lambda) &= \left[a^{(m)}(t_m - z, \lambda_1 - \gamma, \check{\lambda}) a^{(m-1)}(t_{m-1} - z, \lambda_1 - \gamma, \check{\lambda} + \gamma \check{h}^{(m)}) \dots \right. \\
&\quad \left. \dots a^{(1)}(t_1 - z, \lambda_1 - \gamma, \check{\lambda} + \gamma \sum_{k=2}^m \check{h}^{(k)}) \right] g^{(1,\dots,m)}(\lambda_1 - \gamma, \check{\lambda}) \\
&+ \text{tr}^{(0)} \left[a^{(0)}(z - t_1, \lambda_1 - \ell\gamma, \check{\lambda}) a^{(0)}(z - t_2, \lambda_1 - (\ell+1)\gamma, \check{\lambda}) \dots \right. \\
&\quad \left. \dots a^{(0)}(z - t_m, \lambda_1 - (\ell+m-1)\gamma, \check{\lambda}) \Phi^{(0)}(z) \check{R}^{(0m)}(z - t_m, \check{\lambda}) \right. \\
&\quad \left. \check{R}^{(0,m-1)}(z - t_{m-1}, \check{\lambda} + \gamma \check{h}^{(m)}) \dots \check{R}^{(0,1)}(z - t_1, \check{\lambda} + \gamma \sum_{k=2}^m \check{h}^{(k)}) \right] g^{(1,\dots,m)}(\lambda).
\end{aligned}$$

What we have in mind is to be sent back to the diagonalization of a transfer matrix $\check{\mathcal{T}}$ of the same kind as $\mathcal{T}$, with dimension N decreased by 1. This is the case if we choose the right dependence of the vector g in the variable λ_1 .

Proposition. *If we take $g^{(1,\dots,m)}(\lambda) = G(\lambda) \check{\psi}(\check{\lambda})$ with*

$$(18) \quad G(\lambda) = e^{c_1 \lambda_1} \prod_{j=2}^N \prod_{p=1}^{\ell} \theta(\lambda_1 - \lambda_j - p\gamma),$$

where c_1 is an arbitrary constant, then

$$\begin{aligned}
(19) \quad g_0^{(1,\dots,m)}(\lambda) &= G(\lambda) \left[S_+(z; t_1, \dots, t_m) e^{-\gamma c_1} \right. \\
&\quad \left. + \frac{\theta(z)}{\theta(z - N\ell\gamma)} S_-(z; t_1, \dots, t_m) \check{\mathcal{T}}^{(m,\dots,1)}(z) \right] \check{\psi}(\check{\lambda}),
\end{aligned}$$

where $S_{\pm}(z; t_1, \dots, t_m) = \prod_{k=1}^m \frac{\theta(z - t_k \pm \gamma)}{\theta(z - t_k)}$ and

$$\begin{aligned}
&\check{\Gamma}^{(1,\dots,m)} \check{\mathcal{T}}^{(m,\dots,1)}(z) \left(\check{\Gamma}^{(1,\dots,m)} \right)^{-1} \\
&= \text{tr}^{(0)} \left[\check{R}^{(0m)}(z - t_m, \check{\lambda} - \gamma \sum_{j=1}^{m-1} \check{h}^{(j)}) \dots \check{R}^{(01)}(z - t_1, \check{\lambda}) \check{\Gamma}^{(0)} \right].
\end{aligned}$$

Up to a conjugation by $\check{\Gamma}^{(1,\dots,m)} = (\check{\Gamma}^{(1)} \dots \check{\Gamma}^{(m)})$ (where $\check{\Gamma}$ is the shift operator $\check{\Gamma} = \text{diag}(\Gamma_i)_{i=2,\dots,N}$), $\check{\mathcal{T}}$ is the transfer matrix acting in $\check{W} = (\mathbb{C}^{N-1})^{\otimes (N-1)\ell}$ given by an expression similar to (11, 12).

Proof. Let us look at the first term of g_0 , which is given by the action of a diagonal matrix on g . Because g is a zero weight vector, we find that this term is simply a multiple of g given by

$$\begin{aligned} & \left[a^{(m)} a^{(m-1)} \dots a^{(1)} \right] g^{(1,\dots,m)}(\lambda - \gamma \omega_1) \\ &= \prod_{k=1}^m \frac{\theta(z - t_k + \gamma)}{\theta(z - t_k)} \prod_{j=2}^N \frac{\theta(\lambda_1 - \lambda_j - \gamma)}{\theta(\lambda_1 - \lambda_j - (\ell + 1)\gamma)} g^{(1,\dots,m)}(\lambda - \gamma \omega_1). \end{aligned}$$

For this to be exactly $g^{(1,\dots,m)}(\lambda)$ up to a constant factor, we have to take

$$g^{(1,\dots,m)}(\lambda) = G(\lambda) \check{\psi}(\check{\lambda})$$

with the function G as in (18).

Now let us simplify the second term. If we compute the j th element of the matrix $a(z - t_1, \lambda_1 - \ell\gamma, \check{\lambda}) \dots a(z - t_m, \lambda_1 - (\ell + m - 1)\gamma, \check{\lambda}) \Phi(z)$, we find

$$\begin{aligned} & \left[a(z - t_1, \lambda_1 - \ell\gamma, \check{\lambda}) \dots a(z - t_m, \lambda_1 - (\ell + m - 1)\gamma, \check{\lambda}) \Phi(z) \right]_j \\ &= \frac{\theta(z)}{\theta(z - (m + \ell)\gamma)} \prod_{k=1}^m \frac{\theta(z - t_k - \gamma)}{\theta(z - t_k)} \frac{\theta(\lambda_1 - \lambda_j - \ell\gamma)}{\theta(\lambda_1 - \lambda_j)} \Gamma_j \end{aligned}$$

and then it is clear that

$$\begin{aligned} & \left[a(z - t_1, \lambda_1 - \ell\gamma, \check{\lambda}) \dots a(z - t_m, \lambda_1 - (\ell + m - 1)\gamma, \check{\lambda}) \Phi(z) \right]_j G(\lambda) \\ &= \frac{\theta(z)}{\theta(z - (m + \ell)\gamma)} \prod_{k=1}^m \frac{\theta(z - t_k - \gamma)}{\theta(z - t_k)} G(\lambda) \Gamma_j. \end{aligned}$$

So when we take the trace we simply get the transfer matrix $\check{T}$ up to a scalar coefficient. $\square$

The first “unwanted term” is obtained similarly, except that for the commutation of $A(z)$ and $D(z)$ across $B(t_1)$, we keep the second part of the commutation relations:

$$\begin{aligned} g_1^{(1,\dots,m)}(\lambda) &= \left[a^{(m)}(t_m - t_1, \lambda_1 - \gamma, \check{\lambda}) \dots a^{(2)}(t_2 - t_1, \lambda_1 - \gamma, \check{\lambda} + \gamma \sum_{k=3}^m \check{h}^{(k)}) \right. \\ & \quad \left. b^{(1)}\left(t_1 - z, \lambda_1 - \gamma, \check{\lambda} + \gamma \sum_{k=2}^m \check{h}^{(k)}\right) \right] g^{(1,\dots,m)}(\lambda_1 - \gamma, \check{\lambda}) \\ &+ \text{tr}^{(0)} \left[c^{(0)}(z - t_1, \lambda_1 - \ell\gamma, \check{\lambda}) a^{(0)}(t_1 - t_2, \lambda_1 - (\ell + 1)\gamma, \check{\lambda}) \dots \right. \\ & \quad \dots a^{(0)}(t_1 - t_m, \lambda_1 - (\ell + m - 1)\gamma, \check{\lambda}) \Phi^{(0)}(t_1) \check{R}^{(0m)}(t_1 - t_m, \check{\lambda}) \dots \\ & \quad \left. \dots \check{R}^{(0,2)}\left(t_1 - t_2, \check{\lambda} + \gamma \sum_{k=3}^m \check{h}^{(k)}\right) \check{P}^{(01)} \right] g^{(1,\dots,m)}(\lambda). \end{aligned}$$

Proposition. With $g^{(1,\dots,m)}(\lambda) = G(\lambda) \check{\psi}(\check{\lambda})$ where $G(\lambda)$ is given by (18), we have

$$\begin{aligned} g_1^{(1,\dots,m)}(\lambda) &= G(\lambda) \frac{\theta(\gamma)}{\theta(t_1 - z)} X^{(1)}(z, t_1, \lambda) \left[K_+(t_1, \dots, t_m) e^{-\gamma c_1} \right. \\ & \quad \left. - \frac{\theta(t_1)}{\theta(t_1 - N\ell\gamma)} K_-(t_1, \dots, t_m) \check{T}^{(m,\dots,1)}(t_1) \right] \check{\psi}(\check{\lambda}) \end{aligned}$$

where

$$K_{\pm}(t_1, \dots, t_m) = \prod_{k=2}^m \frac{\theta(t_1 - t_k \pm \gamma)}{\theta(t_1 - t_k)}$$

and X is the diagonal $(N-1) \times (N-1)$ matrix of elements $[X(z, t, \lambda)]_{jj} = \frac{\theta(z - t + \lambda_j - \lambda_1 + \ell\gamma)}{\theta(\lambda_j - \lambda_1 + \ell\gamma)}$, for $j = 2, \dots, N$.

We shall not compute directly the other “unwanted terms”. To obtain them, let us change the order of the B operators in (15), using the commutation relations (16,17). We get

$$(20) \quad \psi(t_1, \dots, t_m, \lambda) = B^{(2)}(t_2) \cdots B^{(m)}(t_m) B^{(1)}(t_1) v \check{\mathcal{R}}^{(1;m, \dots, 2)} g^{(1, \dots, m)}(\lambda),$$

where

$$\check{\mathcal{R}}^{(1;m, \dots, 2)} = \check{R}^{(1m)}(t_1 - t_m, \check{\lambda}) \cdots \check{R}^{(12)}(t_1 - t_2, \check{\lambda} + \gamma \sum_{k=3}^m \check{h}^{(k)}).$$

Starting with (20) and applying the same procedure as before, we find another expression for the “wanted term”; let us check that the two results are equivalent. We find now

$$\begin{aligned} g_0^{(1, \dots, m)}(\lambda) &= G(\lambda) \left(\check{\mathcal{R}}^{(1;m, \dots, 2)} \right)^{-1} \left[S_+(z; t_2, \dots, t_m, t_1) e^{-\gamma c_1} \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \frac{\theta(z)}{\theta(z - N\ell\gamma)} S_-(z; t_2, \dots, t_m, t_1) \check{\mathcal{T}}^{(1, m, \dots, 2)}(z) \right] \check{\mathcal{R}}^{(1;m, \dots, 2)} \check{\psi}(\check{\lambda}). \end{aligned}$$

Since $S_{\pm}$ are symmetric functions of $(t_1, \dots, t_m)$, and thanks to some commutation property of $\check{\mathcal{T}}$ and $\check{\mathcal{R}}$ which is written below, it is straightforward to see that this second expression is equal to (19).

Proposition.

$$(21) \quad \check{\mathcal{T}}^{(1, m, \dots, 2)}(z) \check{\mathcal{R}}^{(1; m, \dots, 2)} = \check{\mathcal{R}}^{(1; m, \dots, 2)} \check{\mathcal{T}}^{(m, \dots, 1)}(z).$$

Proof. We can write $\check{\mathcal{T}}^{(m, \dots, 1)} = \text{tr}^{(0)} \check{\mathcal{L}}^{(0; m, \dots, 1)}$ with

$$\begin{aligned} \check{\mathcal{L}}^{(0; m, \dots, 1)} &= \left(\check{\Gamma}^{(m)} \right)^{-1} \check{R}^{(0m)} \left(\check{\Gamma}^{(m-1)} \right)^{-1} \check{R}^{(0m-1)} \cdots \left(\check{\Gamma}^{(1)} \right)^{-1} \check{R}^{(01)} \check{\Gamma}^{(0)} \check{\Gamma}^{(1)} \cdots \check{\Gamma}^{(m)} \\ &= \check{\Gamma}^{(0)} \check{R}^{(0m)} \left(\check{\Gamma}^{(m)} \right)^{-1} \check{R}^{(0m-1)} \left(\check{\Gamma}^{(m-1)} \right)^{-1} \cdots \check{R}^{(01)} \check{\Gamma}^{(2)} \cdots \check{\Gamma}^{(m)} \end{aligned}$$

because $\check{\Gamma}^{(0)} \check{\Gamma}^{(j)}$ commutes with $\check{R}^{(0j)}$. Using the dynamical Yang–Baxter equation (1) in the form

$$\check{\Gamma}^{(0)} \check{R}^{(01)} \left(\check{\Gamma}^{(1)} \right)^{-1} \check{R}^{(0j)} \left(\check{\Gamma}^{(j)} \right)^{-1} \check{R}^{(1j)} = \check{R}^{(1j)} \left(\check{\Gamma}^{(j)} \right)^{-1} \check{R}^{(0j)} \check{\Gamma}^{(0)} \check{R}^{(01)} \left(\check{\Gamma}^{(1)} \right)^{-1},$$

one can see that $\check{\mathcal{L}}^{(0; 1, 2)} \check{\mathcal{R}}^{(1; 2)} = \check{\mathcal{R}}^{(1; 2)} \check{\mathcal{L}}^{(0; 2, 1)}$. Then using the relations

$$\begin{aligned} \check{\mathcal{R}}^{(1; m+1, \dots, 2)} &= \check{R}^{(1m+1)} \left(\check{\Gamma}^{(m+1)} \right)^{-1} \check{\mathcal{R}}^{(1; m, \dots, 2)} \check{\Gamma}^{(m+1)} \\ &= \left(\check{\Gamma}^{(1)} \right)^{-1} \left(\check{\Gamma}^{(m+1)} \right)^{-1} \check{R}^{(1m+1)} \check{\Gamma}^{(1)} \check{\mathcal{R}}^{(1; m, \dots, 2)} \check{\Gamma}^{(m+1)}, \end{aligned}$$

it is easy to prove the result by recursion on m . $\square$

Let us note that at this point in the usual nested Bethe ansatz, the situation is a little simpler. Indeed if there is no shift entering the definition of the Lax operator, by cyclicity of the trace $\check{\mathcal{T}}^{(1, m, \dots, 2)}(z) = \check{\mathcal{T}}^{(m, \dots, 1)}(z)$, and $\check{\mathcal{R}}^{(1; m, \dots, 2)}$ is precisely equal to $\check{\mathcal{T}}^{(1, m, \dots, 2)}(t_1)$. In that case, the relation (21) is just the expression of the commutation of the transfer matrices for different values of the spectral parameter.

But here because of the dynamical feature of the Lax operator, $\check{\mathcal{R}}^{(1;m,\dots,2)}$ is not a transfer matrix, and $\mathcal{T}^{(1,m,\dots,2)}(z)$ is not equal to $\mathcal{T}^{(m,\dots,1)}(z)$.

It is now clear that the expression of the second “unwanted term” is:

$$g_2^{(1,\dots,m)}(\lambda) = G(\lambda) \frac{\theta(\gamma)}{\theta(t_2 - z)} X^{(2)}(z, t_2, \lambda) \left[K_+(t_2, \dots, t_m, t_1) e^{-\gamma c_1} - \frac{\theta(t_2)}{\theta(t_2 - N\ell\gamma)} K_-(t_2, \dots, t_m, t_1) \check{\mathcal{T}}^{(1,m,\dots,2)}(t_2) \right] \check{\mathcal{R}}^{(1;m,\dots,2)} \check{\psi}(\check{\lambda}),$$

which, with the use of relation (21), can be written

$$g_2^{(1,\dots,m)}(\lambda) = G(\lambda) \frac{\theta(\gamma)}{\theta(t_2 - z)} X^{(2)}(z, t_2, \lambda) \check{\mathcal{R}}^{(1;m,\dots,2)} \left[K_+(t_2, \dots, t_m, t_1) e^{-\gamma c_1} - \frac{\theta(t_2)}{\theta(t_2 - N\ell\gamma)} K_-(t_2, \dots, t_m, t_1) \check{\mathcal{T}}^{(m,\dots,1)}(t_2) \right] \check{\psi}(\check{\lambda}).$$

The expressions of the other “unwanted terms” are obtained by repeating several times the permutation of the B ’s.

Proposition. *The cancellation of all the “unwanted terms” is equivalent to the set of relations*

$$\check{\mathcal{T}}^{(m,\dots,1)}(t_k) \check{\psi}(\check{\lambda}) = e^{-\gamma c_1} \frac{\theta(t_k - N\ell\gamma)}{\theta(t_k)} \prod_{\substack{i=1 \\ i \neq k}}^m \frac{\theta(t_k - t_i + \gamma)}{\theta(t_k - t_i - \gamma)} \check{\psi}(\check{\lambda}), \quad \forall k = 1, \dots, m.$$

6. THE BETHE EQUATIONS

After the first step of the Bethe ansatz described in the preceding section, we are led to the problem of the diagonalization of $\check{\mathcal{T}}^{((N-1)\ell,\dots,1)}(z)$ for arbitrary values of the parameters t_i . Let us call $\check{\psi}^{((N-1)\ell,\dots,1)}(\check{\lambda})$ the zero weight eigenstate of $\check{\mathcal{T}}^{((N-1)\ell,\dots,1)}(z)$, with eigenvalue $\check{\varepsilon}(z)$ (both $\check{\varepsilon}$ and $\check{\psi}$ depending implicitly on the parameters t_i). If the following conditions are satisfied:

$$\check{\varepsilon}(t_k) = e^{-\gamma c_1} \frac{\theta(t_k - N\ell\gamma)}{\theta(t_k)} \prod_{\substack{i=1 \\ i \neq k}}^{(N-1)\ell} \frac{\theta(t_k - t_i + \gamma)}{\theta(t_k - t_i - \gamma)}, \quad k = 1, \dots, (N-1)\ell,$$

the vector $\psi(t_1, \dots, t_{(N-1)\ell}, \lambda)$ given by (15) will be an eigenstate of $\mathcal{T}(z)$ with eigenvalue

$$\varepsilon(z) = \prod_{k=1}^{(N-1)\ell} \frac{\theta(z - t_k + \gamma)}{\theta(z - t_k)} e^{-\gamma c_1} + \frac{\theta(z)}{\theta(z - N\ell\gamma)} \prod_{k=1}^{(N-1)\ell} \frac{\theta(z - t_k - \gamma)}{\theta(z - t_k)} \check{\varepsilon}(z).$$

The second step of the Bethe ansatz thus consists in diagonalizing $\check{\mathcal{T}}^{((N-1)\ell,\dots,1)}(z)$ by repeating the same procedure. The total shift $\check{\Gamma}^{(1,\dots,(N-1)\ell)}$ entering the definition of $\check{\mathcal{T}}^{((N-1)\ell,\dots,1)}$ has no action because we are looking for zero weight eigenstates only. We seek eigenstates in the form

$$\check{\psi}(u_1, \dots, u_{(N-2)\ell}, \check{\lambda}) = \check{B}^{(1)}(u_1) \cdots \check{B}^{((N-2)\ell)}(u_{(N-2)\ell}) \check{v} \check{g}^{(1,\dots,(N-2)\ell)}(\check{\lambda}),$$

where $\check{v}$ is the vector of $\check{W}$ given by $\check{v} = e_2 \otimes \cdots \otimes e_2$ and $\check{B}_j = \check{\mathcal{L}}_{2j}$ for $j = 3, \dots, N$. Everything works out similarly, except the fact that the operators $\check{D}_{ij} = \check{\mathcal{L}}_{ij}$ for $i, j = 3, \dots, N$ act a little differently on $\check{v}$ because of the parameters t_k in $\check{\mathcal{T}}$:

$$\check{D}(z) [g(\check{\lambda}) \check{v}] = \sum_{i=3}^N e_{ii} \check{\Phi}_i(z, \check{\lambda}) g(\check{\lambda} - \check{\omega}_i) \check{v},$$

where $\check{\Phi}_i(z, \check{\lambda}) = \frac{\theta(\lambda_i - \lambda_2 + (N-1)\ell\gamma)}{\theta(\lambda_i - \lambda_2)} \prod_{k=1}^{(N-1)\ell} \frac{\theta(z - t_k)}{\theta(z - t_k - \gamma)}$.

We continue in this way until the N th step; at this last step, the diagonalization problem to solve is simply $\psi(\lambda_N - \gamma) = \varepsilon_N \psi(\lambda_N)$, the solution of which is (up to a constant) $\psi(\lambda_N) = e^{c_N \lambda_N}$, $\varepsilon_N = e^{-\gamma c_N}$.

The whole procedure can be summarized as follows.

Definition. We introduce a set of parameters $(t_1^{(n)}, \dots, t_{(N-n)\ell}^{(n)})$ for $n = 0, \dots, N-1$, with

$$t_j^{(0)} = (j-1)\gamma, \quad \forall j = 1, \dots, N\ell.$$

We define a set of functions $\varepsilon_n(z)$, $n = 1, \dots, N$, by the recursion relation

$$\begin{aligned} \varepsilon_N(z) &= e^{-\gamma c_N}, \\ \varepsilon_n(z) &= e^{-\gamma c_n} \prod_{k=1}^{(N-n)\ell} \frac{\theta(z - t_k^{(n)} + \gamma)}{\theta(z - t_k^{(n)})} + \prod_{i=1}^{(N-n+1)\ell} \frac{\theta(z - t_i^{(n-1)})}{\theta(z - t_i^{(n-1)} - \gamma)} \prod_{k=1}^{(N-n)\ell} \frac{\theta(z - t_k^{(n)} - \gamma)}{\theta(z - t_k^{(n)})} \varepsilon_{n+1}(z), \end{aligned}$$

where $c_1, \dots, c_N$ are arbitrary complex parameters.

For each $n = 1, \dots, N-1$, we define a Lax matrix by setting:

$$\begin{aligned} R(n|z, \lambda) &= \sum_{i=n}^N e_{ii} \otimes e_{ii} + \sum_{\substack{i,j=n \\ i \neq j}}^N \alpha(z, \lambda_i - \lambda_j) e_{ii} \otimes e_{jj} + \sum_{\substack{i,j=n \\ i \neq j}}^N \beta(z, \lambda_i - \lambda_j) e_{ij} \otimes e_{ji}, \\ \mathcal{L}(n|z) &= \left(\prod_{k=1}^{(N-n+1)\ell} R^{(0k)}(n|z - t_k^{(n-1)}, \lambda - \gamma \sum_{j \bowtie k} h^{(j)}) \right) \Gamma(n)^{(0)}, \end{aligned}$$

where the product on k is taken in increasing order if n is odd, and decreasing order if n is even. The notation $j \bowtie k$ means $j > k$ if n is odd, and $j < k$ if n is even. $\Gamma(n)$ is the matrix $\text{diag}(\Gamma_i)_{i=n, \dots, N}$. The creation operators are the elements of $\mathcal{L}$ given by

$$B_j(n|t) = \mathcal{L}_{nj}(n|t), \quad j = n+1, \dots, N,$$

where the subscripts nj refer to the auxiliary space (θ) .

Finally we define the states $\psi_n(\lambda_n, \dots, \lambda_N)$ by $\psi_N(\lambda_N) = e^{c_N \lambda_N}$ and the recursion relation (for $n = 1, \dots, N-1$)

$$\psi_n = B^{(1)}(n|t_1^{(n)}) \dots B^{((N-n)\ell)}(n|t_{(N-n)\ell}^{(n)}) v_n G_n \psi_{n+1},$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} v_n &= e_n \otimes \dots \otimes e_n \in (\mathbb{C}^{N-n+1})^{\otimes (N-n+1)\ell}, \\ G_n(\lambda_n, \dots, \lambda_N) &= e^{c_n \lambda_n} \prod_{j=n+1}^N \prod_{p=1}^{\ell} \theta(\lambda_n - \lambda_j - p\gamma). \end{aligned}$$

Proposition. If the parameters $t_k^{(n)}$ are solution of the Bethe equations

$$\begin{aligned} (22) \quad & \prod_{\substack{j=1 \\ j \neq k}}^{(N-n)\ell} \frac{\theta(t_k^{(n)} - t_j^{(n)} + \gamma)}{\theta(t_k^{(n)} - t_j^{(n)} - \gamma)} \prod_{i=1}^{(N-n+1)\ell} \frac{\theta(t_k^{(n)} - t_i^{(n-1)} - \gamma)}{\theta(t_k^{(n)} - t_i^{(n-1)})} \prod_{i=1}^{(N-n-1)\ell} \frac{\theta(t_k^{(n)} - t_i^{(n+1)})}{\theta(t_k^{(n)} - t_i^{(n+1)} + \gamma)} \\ &= e^{\gamma(c_n - c_{n+1})}, \quad \forall n = 1, \dots, N-1, \quad \forall k = 1, \dots, (N-n)\ell, \end{aligned}$$

then $\psi_1(\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_N)$ is a zero weight eigenstate of $\mathcal{T}(z) = \text{tr}^{(0)} \mathcal{L}(1|z)$ with eigenvalue

$$\varepsilon_1(z) = \sum_{i=1}^N e^{-\gamma c_i} \prod_{m=1}^{(N-i)\ell} \frac{\theta(z - t_m^{(i)} + \gamma)}{\theta(z - t_m^{(i)})} \prod_{j=1}^{i-1} \left(\prod_{m=1}^{(N-j)\ell} \frac{\theta(z - t_m^{(j)} - \gamma)}{\theta(z - t_m^{(j)})} \prod_{m=1}^{(N-j+1)\ell} \frac{\theta(z - t_m^{(j-1)})}{\theta(z - t_m^{(j-1)} - \gamma)} \right).$$

Proof. The cancellation of all the “unwanted terms” is equivalent to the set of equations

$$\varepsilon_{n+1}(t_k^{(n)}) = e^{-\gamma c_n} \prod_{i=1}^{(N-n+1)\ell} \frac{\theta(t_k^{(n)} - t_i^{(n-1)} - \gamma)}{\theta(t_k^{(n)} - t_i^{(n-1)})} \prod_{\substack{j=1 \\ j \neq k}}^{(N-n)\ell} \frac{\theta(t_k^{(n)} - t_j^{(n)} + \gamma)}{\theta(t_k^{(n)} - t_j^{(n)} - \gamma)},$$

for $n = 1, \dots, N-1$ and $k = 1, \dots, (N-n)\ell$. It is easy to see that

$$\varepsilon_n(z) = \sum_{i=n}^N e^{-\gamma c_i} \prod_{m=1}^{(N-i)\ell} \frac{\theta(z - t_m^{(i)} + \gamma)}{\theta(z - t_m^{(i)})} \prod_{j=n}^{i-1} \left(\prod_{m=1}^{(N-j)\ell} \frac{\theta(z - t_m^{(j)} - \gamma)}{\theta(z - t_m^{(j)})} \prod_{m=1}^{(N-j+1)\ell} \frac{\theta(z - t_m^{(j-1)})}{\theta(z - t_m^{(j-1)} - \gamma)} \right),$$

and so in the expression of $\varepsilon_{n+1}(t_k^{(n)})$ there is only one non zero term, corresponding to $i = n+1$. $\square$

Remark. Since the Ruijsenaars operator M is related to the transfer matrix $\mathcal{T}(z)$ by the relation (10), $\psi_1(\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_N)$ is an eigenfunction of M with eigenvalue $\varepsilon = \frac{\theta(z - \gamma N \ell)}{\theta(z - \gamma \ell)} \varepsilon_1(z)$. This quantity does not depend on z , so we can evaluate it at $z = 0$. We find

$$\varepsilon = e^{-\gamma c_1} \frac{\theta(\gamma N \ell)}{\theta(\gamma \ell)} \prod_{m=1}^{(N-1)\ell} \frac{\theta(t_m^{(1)} - \gamma)}{\theta(t_m^{(1)})}.$$

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

I thank Giovanni Felder for discussions.

REFERENCES

- [1] G. Felder, A. Varchenko, *Elliptic quantum groups and Ruijsenaars models*, [q-alg/9704005](#).
- [2] G. Felder, A. Varchenko, *Algebraic Bethe ansatz for the elliptic quantum group $E_{\tau, \eta}(sl_2)$* , Nucl. Phys. B480 (1996), 485–503 and [q-alg/9605024](#).
- [3] E.K. Sklyanin, L.A. Takhtadjan, L.D. Faddeev, *The quantum inverse problem method I*, Theor. Math. Phys. 40 (1980), 688–706.
- [4] L.D. Faddeev, *Integrable models in 1 + 1 dimensional quantum field theory*, Les Houches lectures 1982, Elsevier Science Publishers (1984).
- [5] P.P. Kulish, N. Yu Reshetikhin, *Diagonalization of $gl(n)$ invariant transfer matrices and quantum n wave system (Lee model)*, J. Phys. A: Math. Gen. 16 (1983), L591–L596.
- [6] G. Felder, A. Varchenko, *Integral representations of solutions of the elliptic Knizhnik–Zamolodchikov–Bernard equations*, Int. Math. Res. Notices 5 (1995), 221–233 and [hep-th/9502165](#).
- [7] P.I. Etingof, A.A. Kirillov, Jr., *Representations of affine Lie algebras, parabolic differential equations and Lamé functions*, Duke Math. J. 74 (1994), 585–614 and [hep-th/9310083](#).
- [8] P.I. Etingof, A.A. Kirillov, Jr., *On the affine analogue of Jack’s and Macdonald’s polynomials*, [hep-th/9403168](#).
- [9] N. Yu Reshetikhin, A. Varchenko, *Quasiclassical asymptotics of solutions to the KZ equations*, [hep-th/9402126](#).
- [10] G. Felder, A. Varchenko, *Three formulae for eigenfunctions of integrable Schrödinger operators*, Comp. Math. 107 (1997), 143–175 and [hep-th/9511120](#).
- [11] P.I. Etingof, A.A. Kirillov, Jr., *A unified representation theoretic approach to special functions*, Funct. Anal. Appl. 28:1 (1994), 91–94 and [hep-th/9312101](#).
- [12] G. Felder, V. Tarasov, A. Varchenko, *Solutions of the elliptic QKZB equations and Bethe ansatz I*, [q-alg/9606005](#).